PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)
REC'D 0.1 MAR 2005

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

PCT WIPO

Applicant's or agent's file reference P26343PC00	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/IB2004/050341	International filing date (d	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26.03.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) o G06K7/00, H04L9/32, G07F7/08,	or national classification and IPC G06F17/60, G06K17/00,	G07C9/00		j	
Applicant SUPERSENSOR (PTY) LTD (IN	LIQUIDATION) ET AL.				
Authority under Article 35 and	transmitted to the applicant	according to Article 30	International Preliminary Exami	ning	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. Sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	rsede earlier sheets, but wh ure in the international appl	ich this Authority cons ication as filed, as indi	iders contain an amendment that cated in item 4 of Box No. I and t	t goes the	
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indication	s relating to the following ite	ems:			
☑ Box No. I Basis of the	opinion	•			
☐ Box No. II Priority	•				
☐ Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
	y of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	uments cited				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defe	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the internation	al application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report		
21.01.2005		02.03.2005			
Name and mailing address of the Intern	ational	Authorized Officer	size	nes Patenteno	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		de Ronde, J.	or Change		
		Telephone No. +31 70	340-3925	ours office one	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050341

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the report			
1.	With filed	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		This re	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
		 ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 				
2.	hav	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Des	criptio	n, Pages			
	1-11	l	as originally filed			
	Clai	ims, Nu	umbers ·			
	1-24	4	as originally filed			
	Dra	wings,	Sheets			
	1/3-	3/3	as originally filed			
		a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
з.		The a	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
			e description, pages e claims, Nos.			
		☐ the	e drawings, sheets/figs			
		□ th	e sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : ny table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :			
4.	. □ had Suj	d not b	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		☐ th	e description, pages e claims, Nos.			
		☐ th	e drawings, sheets/figs			
	•	⊔ th □ ar	e sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	*	Tf i	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050341

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3 4 6-22

No: Claims

1 2 5 23 24

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-24

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/050341

Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 01/57807 A (3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES CO) 9 August 2001 (2001-08-09)

D2: WO 03/005143 A (KOENIG &; BAUER AG; SCHASCHEK KARL ERICH ALBERT (DE);

SCHNEIDER GEORG) 16 January 2003 (2003-01-16)

D3: EP 1 063 610 A (SUPERSENSOR PROPRIETARY LTD) 27 December 2000 (2000-12-27)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 and 23

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

An electronic identification system comprising:

a plurality of transponders (RFID tags, see throughout D1)

at least one transponder encoder (RFID interrogator, Writer, Cryptographic Transformation Algorithm) for writing respective first watermark data into a memory arrangement of each transponder (Page 6, line 16-Page 9, line 3);

at least one verifier for interrogating a selected transponder and to read data stored in the transponder; said first watermark data being derived from an algorithm and input data (RFID Interrogator etc...; the verifier comprising computing means configured to retrieve the algorithm and the input data and to compute second watermark data for comparison with the first watermark data (Authentication using method of Field Encryption and Comparison (Page 9 line28 - Page 10, line11).

Hence, claim 1 is not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

Analagous argumentation is applicable to independent claim 23.

3. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 8 and 19

The subject matter of claim 8 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT. The subject-matter of independent claim 8 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that: utilizing at the verifier the transmitted data to retrieve the algorithm and the input data. However, these features have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar method, see document D3. It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the same result is to be achieved, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a method according to document D1, thereby arriving at a method according to claim 8.

Hence claim 8 can not be considered to be inventive (Article 33(3) PCT). Analagous argumentation is applicable to claim 19.

4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-7, 9-18, 20-22, 24

Dependent claims 2-7, 9-18, 20-22, 24 appear not to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/050341

5. Article 6 PCT

Throughout the claims phrases appear like: "encoder for", "verifier for" and so on.. For the sake of this written opinion "for" has generally been construed in the sense of "adapted for".

However, the applicant is informed that said usage of the word "for" will be taken into account as a formal deficiency in certain regional examination phases. This deficiency could be easily resolved by replacing "for" by "adapted for" where appropriate in the claims.